

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	IG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.]
10/770,187	02/0)2/2004	Robin A. Adair	5-0235-001	9381	
803	7590 04/05/2005			EXAMINER		
STURM & F	IX LLP		FERGUSON, MICHAEL P			
206 SIXTH A	VENUE					
SUITE 1213				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DES MOINES	DES MOINES LA 50309-4076			3679	9	

DATE MAILED: 04/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/770,187	ADAIR, ROBIN A.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Michael P. Ferguson	3679					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 February 2004</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 02/02/04.	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te atent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 3679

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 1, 2, 4, 6, 8 and 11-13 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 1 (line 2) recites "comprises". It should recite --comprises: --.

Claim 1 (line 4) recites "distal end". It should recite --distal end; --.

Claim 2 (line 1) recites "in claim 2". It should recite --in claim 1--.

Claim 2 (line 2) recites "one intermediate segments". It should recite --one intermediate segment--.

Claim 4 (line 2) recites "the rear wall". It should recite --a rear wall--.

Claim 4 (line 4) recites "the top surface". It should recite --a top surface--.

Claim 6 (line 2) recites "the rear wall". It should recite --a rear wall--.

Claim 6 (line 4) recites "the top surface". It should recite -- a top surface--.

Claim 8 (line 1) recites "comprising". It should recite --comprising: --.

Claim 11 (line 2) recites "the rear wall". It should recite --a rear wall--.

Claim 11 (line 4) recites "the top surface". It should recite --a top surface--.

Claim 12 (line 1) recites "in claim 10". It should recite --in claim 8--.

Claim 13 (line 2) recites "the rear wall". It should recite --a rear wall--.

Claim 13 (line 4) recites "the top surface". It should recite --a top surface--.

For the purpose of examining the application, it is assumed that appropriate correction has been made.

Art Unit: 3679

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Foster (US 6,625,925).

As to claim 1, Foster discloses a fence guard construction capable of preventing the growth of vegetation in the vicinity of a fence wherein, the construction comprises:

a first end cap member **50** including a closed end **52** elongated hollow rectangular body having an open distal end **15**;

a second end cap member 50 including a closed end 52 elongated hollow rectangular body having an open proximal end 15 provided with a connector flange 35; and

an intermediate segment **13** including an open ended elongated hollow rectangular body having a connector flange **32** disposed on one of the open ends (Figures 1-5).

As to claim 2, Foster discloses a construction wherein the first and second end cap members **50**, as well as, the intermediate segment **13** have their external surfaces provided with a simulated brick appearance (Figures 1 and 5).

Art Unit: 3679

As to claim 3, Foster discloses a construction wherein each of the end cap members 50 is provided with a horizontal mounting flange 14 and a vertical mounting flange 22 (Figure 3).

As to claim 4, Foster discloses a construction wherein the vertical mounting flange 22 is formed in a rear wall portion 22 of the hollow rectangular bodies and at the terminus of a semi-conical recess (defined by cross-section of flange 22) formed in a top surface of the hollow rectangular bodies (Figures 10 and 11).

As to claim 5, Foster discloses a construction wherein the intermediate segment 13 is provided with vertical mounting flange 22 and a horizontal mounting flange 14 (Figure 2).

As to claim 6, Foster discloses a construction wherein the vertical mounting flange 22 is formed in a rear wall portion 22 of the hollow rectangular bodies and at the terminus of a semi-conical recess (defined by cross-section of flange 22) formed in a top surface of the hollow rectangular bodies (Figures 10 and 11).

As to claim 7, Foster discloses a fence guard construction capable of preventing the growth of vegetation in the vicinity of a fence wherein, the construction comprises:

a first end cap member **50** including a closed end **52** elongated hollow rectangular body having an open distal end **15**; and

a second end cap member 50 including a closed end 52 elongated hollow rectangular body having an open proximal end 15 provided with a connector flange 32 which is adapted to be received in the open distal end of the first end cap member (Figures 1-5).

Art Unit: 3679

As to claim 8, Foster discloses a construction comprising an intermediate segment 13 including an open ended elongated hollow rectangular body having a connector flange 32 disposed on one of the open ends (Figure 2).

As to claim 9, Foster discloses a construction wherein the first and second end cap members **50**, as well as, the intermediate segment **13** have their external surfaces provided with a simulated brick appearance (Figures 1 and 5).

As to claim 10, Foster discloses a construction wherein each of the end cap members 50 is provided with a horizontal mounting flange 14 and a vertical mounting flange 22 (Figure 3).

As to claim 11, Foster discloses a construction wherein the vertical mounting flange 22 is formed in a rear wall portion 22 of the hollow rectangular bodies and at the terminus of a semi-conical recess (defined by cross-section of flange 22) formed in a top surface of the hollow rectangular bodies (Figures 10 and 11).

As to claim 12, Foster discloses a construction wherein the intermediate segment 13 is provided with a vertical mounting flange 22 and a horizontal mounting flange 14 (Figure 2).

As to claim 13, Foster discloses a construction wherein the vertical mounting flange 22 is formed in a rear wall portion 22 of the hollow rectangular bodies and at the terminus of a semi-conical recess (defined by cross-section of flange 22) formed in a top surface of the hollow rectangular bodies (Figures 10 and 11).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to the applicant's disclosure. The following patents show the state of the art with respect to fence guards:

O'Mullan et al. (US 3,762,113), Cobler (US 4,548,388) and Damon et al. (US 6,070,859) are cited for pertaining to guards comprising connector flanges and mounting flanges.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael P. Ferguson whose telephone number is (703)308-8591. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel P. Stodola can be reached on (703)308-2686. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Bysiness Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DANIEL P. STODOLA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600